

GZJ ~~DKV~~'6''

In the Matter Of:

HENRY v

BROWN UNIVERSITY

DIANE CORBETT

January 08, 2024



110

1 D. CORBETT

2 I'm just trying to test where your
3 knowledge base is here, so to do that I'm
4 going to put words in your mouth, which
5 people don't always like, but if I'm wrong
6 you'll correct me and tell me what it is.

7 So, to your knowledge, 568 didn't
8 focus at all on eliminating divergent
9 results or consistent treatment of
10 families, is that fair?

11 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection.

12 A. So my focus was not 568. So I
13 cannot speak to what 568, what their focus
14 was because that was not part of my focus
15 during my tenure.

16 Q. Okay. What if we ask it like
17 this: From the interaction you had with
18 568, do you agree that they work toward
19 consistent treatment of families and the
20 elimination of divergent results?

21 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection.

22 A. I cannot speak to what 568 was
23 working toward. My understanding was there
24 were best practices that we at Cornell
25 could choose to adopt or not as we

1 D. CORBETT

2 developed our own methodology for need

3 analysis to meet the needs of our students.

4 Q. And in your interactions with
5 568, do you believe the policies they
6 proposed and the discussions that they
7 curated helped eliminate divergent results?

8 MR. ARMSTRONG: Objection.

9 A. I was not involved in those
10 discussions and I can't -- I don't have the
11 expertise to comment on that.

12 Q. Do you have any basis to disagree
13 with the statements in this value of 568
14 membership?

15 A. No.

16 Q. In the final paragraph, the
17 "Outcomes" paragraph, it says: The 568
18 Group has led to a number of successful
19 outcomes, including the development of a
20 standardized non-custodial parent waiver
21 petition.

22 Do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Do you know what they're talking
25 about there?